

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/088,716 05/30/2002 Bogdan Serban 6147-05WOUS 7578 7590 10/06/2003 EXAMINER McCormick Paulding & Huber ILAN, RUTH City Place II 185 Asylum Street Hartford, CT 06103-3402 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3616

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Summer		10/088,716	BOGDAN SERBAN, ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAN INCOME.	Ruth Ilan	3616
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	May 2002 .	
2a)□		his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 20-44 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) 35-44 is/are allowed.		,
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20,21,31,33 and 34</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-30 and 32</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 May 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No.
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra TOL-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 10

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendment of March 19, 2002 is acknowledged. The amendment has only been entered in part. The instructions for the amendment included deleting pages and inserting Replacement pages 2, 2A, 2B, 3, and 12. The replacement pages were not included with the amendment, and as such they have not been entered.

Drawings/Specification

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "22" has variously been used to designate different embodiments of the explosive coating. The Examiner suggests using 22, 22', 22", etc. Additionally, the specification should be changed to reflect the drawing corrections: A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 38 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 1, "one of claims" should be changed to "claim". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no disclosure in the specification for connection lines that are both applied onto the gas bag and introduced into the gas bag, as claimed in the and/or alternate.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 20, 21, 31, 33, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lewis et al. (US 6,378,898.) Lewis et al. (Figure 22) teaches a device for venting a gas bag (84) including at least one pyrotechnic charge arranged on the textile material that directly destroys threads of the textile material of the gas bag (see col. 7, lines 50-64.) Regarding claim 31, as broadly claimed, connection lines (103, see col. 7, lines 2-5) are applied onto the gas bag.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,716

Art Unit: 3616

8. Claims 20, 21, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lewis et al. (US 6,131,949.) Lewis et al. teaches a device for venting a gas bag (22) including at least one pyrotechnic charge (32) arranged on the textile material that directly destroys threads of the textile material of the gas bag (see Figure 7 and Figure 4e and col. 7, line 45.)

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 22-30, and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 35-44 are allowed.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vinton et al. is not prior art, but is cited as being directed to the same subject matter as in the instant application. Storey et al. and Matsuhashi et al., teach a vent of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth Ilan whose telephone number is 703-306-5956. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 703-308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

RI 9/29/03 Ruth Ilan Examiner

Art Unit 3616

9/29/03

Page 4